

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SIXTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

JOSE ADOLFO EDEZA,

Defendant and Appellant.

H029709

(Monterey County

Super. Ct. No. SS041214)

Defendant entered a plea of guilty to being a felon in possession of a firearm (Pen. Code § 12021, subd. (A)(1)) on May 13, 2004, and received a suspended prison sentence, probation and 365 days in county jail, along with other terms of probation. On September 21, 2005, defendant filed a motion entitled “Nonstatutory Motion to Vacate Judgment; Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus” asserting ineffective assistance of counsel as to the immigration consequences of his 2004 plea. This matter was heard in November 2005 and denied. This timely appeal followed the denial.

We appointed counsel to represent defendant in this court. Appointed counsel has filed an opening brief which states the case and the facts but raises no specific issues. We have notified defendant of his right to submit written argument in his own behalf within 30 days. The period has elapsed and we have received no written argument from defendant.

Pursuant to *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, we have reviewed the entire record and have concluded that there is no arguable issue on appeal.

The judgment is affirmed.

---

McAdams, J.

WE CONCUR:

---

Mihara, Acting P.J.

---

Duffy, J.